

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 008452
ORIGIN EA-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ONY-00 /010 R

DRAFTED BY EA/K:EHURWITZ:FLW
APPROVED BY EA/K - EHURWITZ
DESIRED DISTRIBUTION
EA ONLY

-----140050Z 035543 /66

O 132317Z JAN 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY SEOUL IMMEDIATE

UNCLAS STATE 008452

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, KS, US

SUBJECT: VANCE TESTIMONY BEFORE SFRC

REF: SEOUL 318

FOLLOWING IS AVAILABLE TEXT OF PORTIONS OF VANCE TESTIMONY
BEFORE SFRC REFERRING TO KOREA AND RELATED ITEMS:

QUOTE MR. PERCY: THE QUESTION HAS ALREADY BEEN ASKED, I
THINK, ON THE WITHDRAWAL OF FORCES FROM SOUTH KOREA. A
STATEMENT WAS MADE BY PRESIDENT-ELECT CARTER DURING THE
COURSE OF THE ADMINISTRATION (SIC), THAT HE INTENDED TO
WITHDRAW FORCES FROM SOUTH KOREA.

HAVING BEEN IN JAPAN WITHIN THE LAST COUPLE OF WEEKS, I
KNOW YOU KNOW THAT THERE IS CONCERN ABOUT THIS.

MR. VANCE: YES, I DO.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 008452

SENATOR PERCY: I SENT TO YOU A SEVEN-POINT PROPOSAL THAT
WAS THE BEST THAT I COULD DEVELOP AT THE TIME. I DON'T
KNOW WHETHER YOU HAD A CHANCE TO SEE IT OR NOT, BUT IS
THERE SOMETHING THAT WILL BE DONE GRADUALLY, THOUGHTFULLY,
CERTAINLY WITH EMPHASIS ON GROUND FORCES, NOT AIR FORCES,

WHERE THE NORTH HAS A TWO-TO-ONE SUPREMACY OVER THE SOUTH,

AND IN SUCH A WAY AS TO IN NO WAY ENCOURAGE KIM TO MOVE SOUTH, JUST AS WE, CERTAINLY, MUST USE EVERY INFLUENCE WE HAVE TO RESTRAIN PARK FROM ENGAGING IN AN ACTIVITY WHICH WOULD SOMEHOW INVOLVE US.

MR. VANCE: THE ANSWER IS CLEARLY YES.

FIRST OF ALL, GOVERNOR CARTER MADE IT CLEAR DURING HIS CAMPAIGN IN A NUMBER OF STATEMENTS THAT HE WAS TALKING ONLY ABOUT GROUND FORCES, THAT HE RECOGNIZED THE DISPARITY IN PARTICULARLY AIR FORCES.

AND SECONDLY, WITH RESPECT TO THE QUESTION OF WITHDRAWAL OF GROUND FORCES, HE INDICATED THAT THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE OVER A PHASED BASIS AND ONLY AFTER FULL AND CAREFUL DISCUSSIONS WITH NOT ONLY THE SOUTH KOREANS BUT ALSO WITH THE JAPANESE, WHO HAVE A GREAT INTEREST, AS ALL OF US KNOW, IN THIS QUESTION.

NOW, WE HAVE A SECURITY TREATY WITH SOUTH KOREA. THAT'S A SOLEMN OBLIGATION OF OUR NATION. AND WE WILL IN ANY DISCUSSIONS PROCEED WITH PRUDENCE AND CAUTION.

SENATOR PERCY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SENATOR CASE: WELL, FOR EXAMPLE: FOR THE DEFENSE OF EUROPE--OR SOUTH KOREA--WOULD YOU FAVOR NOW, AS FAR AS SETTING UP OUR DEFENSE POSTURE, RELYING ON TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS?

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 008452

MR. VANCE: THE POSITION WHICH I PERSONALLY HAVE STATED ON THIS IS, THAT AT THIS POINT I WOULD NOT WITHDRAW ANY TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS FROM EUROPE. THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE ELEMENTS WHICH IS PART OF THE BARGAINING WHICH IS GOING ON IN CONNECTION WITH THE MUTUAL BALANCED FORCE REDUCTION TALKS--AND I THINK AT THIS POINT TO TALK ABOUT WITHDRAWING TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

MR. VANCE: I THINK THAT WHATEVER MAY HAVE BEEN THE CASE IN THE PAST WITH RESPECT TO ORIENTATION, THERE CAN BE NO QUESTION THAT THE PACIFIC AND THE COUNTRIES IN THE PACIFIC AND OTHER PARTS OF THE WORLD--AFRICA--LATIN AMERICA--ARE OF FUNDAMENTAL IMPORTANCE IN CONNECTION WITH OUR FOREIGN POLICY.

AND CERTAINLY, MORE SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO JAPAN, IT IS REALLY THE CORNERSTONE OF OUR POLICY IN THE PACIFIC

AREA; AND I CAN ASSURE YOU, DESPITE THE FACT THAT BY ERROR IT WAS LEFT OFF THAT TENTATIVE AGENDA WHICH WE HAD (AND IT IS NOW ON THE AGENDA) THAT OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE JAPANESE WILL BE OF HIGHEST PRIORITY.

NOW WE HAVE ALL KINDS OF IMPORTANT REASONS FOR THAT:

OUR TRADE RELATIONSHIPS.

THE POSITION THAT JAPAN PLAYS IN THAT PART OF THE WORLD-- ITS IMPORTANCE AS ONE OF THE LEADING INDUSTRIAL NATIONS.

AND MANY OTHER CRITICAL REASONS--

SO THAT INsofar AS MY OWN VIEWS ARE CONCERNED, I CONSIDER JAPAN TO BE ONE OF THE CORE ALLIES, ONE OF THE KEY COUNTRIES, AND WILL EXPECT IT TO BE TREATED AS SUCH IN UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 008452

CONNECTION WITH OUR FOREIGN POLICY.

SENATOR : MR. VANCE, THERE HAVE BEEN SERIOUS ALLEGATIONS OF ILLEGAL ACTIVITY SPONSORED BY THE SOUTH KOREAN GOVERNMENT WITHIN THE UNITED STATES--INCLUDING BRIBE WITHIN THE CONGRESS.

IF THOSE ALLEGATIONS ARE TRUE, WHAT SIGNIFICANCE WOULD THAT HAVE FOR AMERICAN POLICY TOWARD SOUTH KOREA?

MR. VANCE: CLEARLY, THAT CANNOT HELP BUT ERODE SUPPORT IN THE UNITED STATES FOR SOUTH KOREA.

SENATOR : WOULD IT HAVE ANY EFFECT WITH RESPECT TO OUR OBLIGATIONS TO DEFEND SOUTH KOREA?

MR. VANCE: NO. THE SECURITY TREATY IS THE SECURITY TREATY.

-

SENATOR : AND THE DECISION ON WHETHER OR NOT TO WITHDRAW GROUND TROOPS FROM SOUTH KOREA, WOULD BE BASED ON FACTORS OTHER THAN THIS DEVELOPMENT?

MR. VANCE: I THINK THE ANSWER IS 'YES' TO THAT QUESTION. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE.

SENATOR : DO YOU SEE HOW THE UNITED STATES CAN PRESENT ITSELF AS BEING A STANDARD OF MORALITY IN THE WORLD--WITHOUT HAVING SOME KIND OF REACTION TO THIS FACTOR?

MR. VANCE: I THINK IT IS LIKELY THAT SOME SORT OF REACTION
WILL HAVE TO BE MADE WITH RESPECT TO THAT.

ON THE OTHER HAND, NO ONE IS BLAMELESS IN THESE MATTERS,
AND I SUPPOSE IT HAS TO BE WEIGHED VERY CAREFULLY WHEN YOU
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 008452

DECIDE WHAT THE ACTION IS THAT IS GOING TO BE TAKEN.

SENATOR : IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TALK 'MORALITY'
IN TERMS OF FOREIGN POLICY, ISN'T IT?

MR. VANCE: YES.

SENATOR : BECAUSE, AS YOU POINTED OUT I THINK IN
AN ANSWER TO A QUESTION BY SENATOR PELL: 'WE DO HAVE
CERTAIN STRATEGIC INTERESTS, MILITARY INTERESTS, DEFENSE
INTERESTS--AND WE HAVE TO WEIGH THOSE VERY, VERY CARE-
FULLY.'

AND I TAKE IT THAT SOUTH KOREA IS IMPORTANT ON ITS OWN
TERMS, AND ALSO BECAUSE OF THE PERCEPTION OUR RELATIONSHIP
OF SOUTH KOREA--THE PERCEPTION OF THAT IN JAPAN.

HOWEVER, IT IS DIFFICULT TO IMAGINE, ISN'T IT, A MORE
OBVIOUS CASE IN WHICH THE UNITED STATES SHOULD SAY SOME-
THING, THEN, WITH RESPECT TO CORRUPTION WHICH EXISTS,
APPARENTLY, NOT ONLY WITHIN SOUTH KOREA--BUT WHICH IS
SPREAD FROM SOUTH KOREA INTO THE CAPITAL OF OUR COUNTRY.

MR. VANCE: THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT THERE HAS TO BE--
WHEN THE FACTS ARE DETERMINED, AND IF THEY ARE DETER-
MINED AS YOU INDICATE THE ALLEGATIONS ARE--THAT THIS IS THE
CASE--THAT THERE IS STRONG CONDEMNATION.

THE QUESTION I THOUGHT YOU WERE ASKING WAS: WHAT DO YOU
DO THEN, WITH RESPECT TO THE SECURITY SIDE OF THE QUESTION.

SENATOR : YES. IS THERE A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
THEIR ACTION AND OUR POLICY--OTHER THAN, SAY A VERBAL
CONDEMNATION WHICH WOULD BE FORTHCOMING FROM US?

MR. VANCE: I THINK THIS IS A MATTER THAT WE ARE GOING
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 008452

TO HAVE TO LOOK AT VERY, VERY CAREFULLY; AND I THINK I

HAVE ANSWERED THIS THE BEST I CAN, ON THE FIRST QUESTION

YOU PUT TO ME.

SENATOR : THANK YOU.

CONGRESSMAN: FINALLY, I AM SURE THAT SENATOR PELL
WENT INTO THE LAW OF THE SEA.

IN JAPAN, TOO--DEEP FISHES WERE INVOLVED--SOUTH KOREA,
AND OUR POSITION THERE--AND THE LAW OF THE SEA--AND ITS
DEEP EFFECT UPON THEM. THEY ARE REALLY DEPENDENT UPON
FISH.

IS YOUR RECORD STATEMENT THAT WE ARE GOING TO SIT DOWN
WITH THE JAPANESE AND EQUITABLY WORK OUT--WE ARE NOT GOING
TO BE ARBITRARY ABOUT THIS AND DRAW THE LINE AND SAY:
'GET OUT OF THE 200 MILE LIMIT WHEN IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE.'

BUT WE ARE GOING TO WORK OUT WITH THEM WHAT OUR END
OBJECTIVE IS. OUR END OBJECTIVE IS TO PRESERVE SUPPLIES,
AND THAT IS WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO. WE ARE NOT TRYING
TO HOLD IT TO OURSELVES. WE ARE TRYING TO STOP THE
DEPLETION THAT IS NOW GOING ON--SUCH AS IN WHALES--AND
IT'S HAPPENING IN ALL OF THE SEA.

IS THIS OUR OBJECTIVE, TO SIT DOWN WITH OUR FRIENDS IN
JAPAN IN AN UNDERSTANDING WAY AND WORK THIS OUT?

MR. VANCE: YES. AND WITH THE CANADIANS AS WELL. UNQUOTE

KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TEXT, LEGISLATIVE TESTIMONY
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 13-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 22 May 2009
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE008452
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EHURWITZ:FLW
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770013-1297
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions:
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770154/aaaabuuo.tel
Line Count: 256
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: ae0305da-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EA
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY
Reference: 77 SEOUL 318
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20-Sep-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3603063
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: VANCE TESTIMONY BEFORE SFRC
TAGS: PFOR, KS, US, (VANCE, CYRUS)
To: SEOUL
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/ae0305da-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009